## BABYLON LANDFILL Babylon (T), Suffolk County, New York

This 82 acre town landfill received some industrial waste. Goundwater contamination of wells is documented for vinyl Chloride, TCE and organic halogens. Several private wells were closed. The upper glacial aquifer is contaminated and hydraulically connected to the magothy aquifer. Some nearby streams may be affected by leachate seeps. The food chain may be affected. A passive methane collection system is used. The incinerators were closed in 1976.

Facility name: Baby	on Lendfill	_
Location: Edison Ava.	·	-
EPA Region:	EPA H NZW	_
	Walter Lindley, Depty Connection	-
<del>-</del>		-
Name of Reviewer: McCc. General description of the facility:	11 Date: 12/13/83	-
(For example: landfill, surface impo	oundment, pile, container; types of hazardous substances; location of the or concern; types of information needed for rating; agency action, etc.)	
	See Nus Road	_
		_
		_
		_
		-
27.82 47. Scores: S <sub>M</sub> = (S <sub>gw</sub>	89 492 S <sub>sw</sub> = 1 S <sub>a</sub> = 1	<del>-</del>
S <sub>FE</sub> = S <sub>DC</sub> =		-

FIGURE 1 HRS COVER SHEET

		Ground Later Route Work Shee	t			
	Rating Factor	excigned Value Discle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
	Observed Release	- O. 45	1	0	45	3.1
		en a score . 45. proceed to line 4. en a score . 4. proceed to line 2.		,		
2	Route Characteristics Depth to Aquifer of Concern	<i>O</i> 3	2	4	6.	3.2
	Net Precipitation Permeability of the Unsaturated Zone		1 1	3	3	
	Physical State	0 2 🙆	1	3	3	•.
		Total Fount Characteristics Score		13	15	
3	Containment	E + 2 🕝 ,	1	جحہ	3	3.3
4	Waste Characteristics Toxicity/Persistence Hazardous Waste Quantity	5 3 6 9 12 <b>65</b> 8 0 <b>0</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1	15	18 8	3.4
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		Total Waste Characteristics Score		16	26	
5	Targets Ground Water Use Distance to Nearest Well/Population Served	0 1 2 (5) 0 4 6 8 10 12 16 18 20 24 30 32 (65) 40	3 1	9	9 40	3.5
		Total Targets Score		44	49	
<u>6</u>	If line 1 is 45, multiply If line 1 is 0, multiply	1 x = x 5 2 x 3 x 4 x 5	å	27456	57,330	
7	Divide line 6 by 57.330	and musticity by 100	Sgw=	47.	89	

FIGURE 2
GROUND WORK SHEET

	Surface Water Route Work Sheet								
	Rating Factor	· Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)				
1	Observed Release	<b>6</b> 45	1	0	45	4.1			
		n a value of 45, proceed to line [2].							
2	Route Characteristics Facility Slope and Intervented Terrain	ening 0 1 2 3	1	Z	3	4.2			
	1-yr. 24-hr. Rainfall Distance to Nearest Surf Water	0 1 ② 3 ace 0 1 ② 3	1 2	24	3 6				
	Physical State	0 1 2 🕙	1.	3	3				
		Total Route Characteristics Succession		11	15				
3	Containment	0 1 2 🚳	1	M2	3	4.3			
4	Waste Characteristics Toxicity/Persistence Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 3 6 9 12 15 8 0 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1 1	15 1	18 8	4.4			
	<b></b>								
		Total Waste Characteristics Score		16	26				
5	Targets Surface Water Use Distance to a Sensitive Environment Population Served/Distar to Water Intake	0 1 ② 3 ③ 1 2 3 ace	3 2 1	600	9 6 40	4.5			
	Downstream	712 16 18 20 24 30 32 35 40	·						
6	If line 1 is 45, multiply If line 1 is 0, multiply			3168	55 -64,350				
7	Divide line 6 by 64,350	and multiply by 100	S <sub>sw</sub> =	4.9	2_				

FIGURE 7
SURFACE WATER ROUTE

C SHEET

	Air Route Work Sheet			ega sembal en exercic	
Rating Factor		Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
Observed Release	0 45	- 1	. <sub>(*</sub> . ).	45	5.1
Date and Location				i	
Sampling Protocol			. •		
	e $S_a = 0$ . Enter on line $\boxed{5}$ . hen proceed to line $\boxed{2}$ .				
Waste Characteris Reactivity and Incompatibility Toxicity	0 1 2 3	1 .		3	5.2
Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1		. <b>8</b>	
					: . :
	Total Waste Characteristics Score			20	
Targets Population Within 4-Mile Radius Distance to Sensi Environment	) 0 9 12 15 18 } 21 24 27 30 ive 0 1 2 3	1 2		30 6	5.3
Land Use	0 1 2 3	া ি		3	
٠	Total Targets Score		gr.	39	
4 Multiply 1 x 2	x 3		 1.	35,100	
5 Divide line 4 by	35.100 and multiply by 100	S a =			

FIGURE 9 AIR ROUTE WORK SHEET

	S.	S <sup>2</sup>
Groundwater Route Score (Sgw)	47.89	2293.45
Surface Water Route Score (S <sub>SW</sub> )	4.92	24.24
Air Route Score (Sa)		
$s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2$		2317.69
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2}$		48.14
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2} / 1.73 = s_M =$		27.82

FIGURE 10
WORKSHEET FOR COMPUTING S<sub>M</sub>

	Fire and Explosion Work Sheet				
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
1 Containment	1 3	1		3	7.1
Waste Characteristics Direct Evidence Ignitability Reactivity Incompatibility Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1 1 1 1		3 3 3 3 8	7.2
	Total Waste Characteristics Score			20	
Targets  Distance to Nearest Population Distance to Nearest Building	0 1 2 3 4 5	1		5	7.3
Distance to Sensitive Environment Land Use Population Within 2-Mile Radius Buildings Within	0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 4 5	1 1 1		3 3 5	
2-Mile Radius					
	Total Targets Score			24	
4 Multiply 1 x 2 x 3		•		1,440	
5 Divide line 4 by 1,440	and multiply by 100	SFE =			

FIGURE 11
FIRE AND EXPLOSION WORK SHEET

		Direct	Coi	ntac	t Wo	rk She	et				
Rating Factor			igne ircle		alue ie)	٠,		Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
1 Observed Incident		0			45			1		45	8.1
If line 1 is 45, procee		4									
2 Accessibility		0 1	2	3		7 9. . 1.		1		3	8.2
3 Containment		0 -	15		:			1		15	8.3
Waste Characteristics Toxicity	٠.	0 1	2	3				5		15	8.4
Targets Population Within a 1-Mile Radius		0 ,1	2	3	4 5	5		4		20	8.5
Distance to a Critical Habitat	•	0 1	2	3				4		12	
						1.	-,	•			
						•	•	; ,		•	
			٠							44	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total	Tar	gets	Sco	re				32	
6 If line 1 is 45, multiply If line 1 is 0, multiply			× 5	_	5			<i>y</i> *		21,600	
Divide line 6 by 21,6	00 and mi	ultiply	by 1	00			;	SDC =			

FIGURE 12 DIRECT CONTACT WORK SHEET

# DOCUMENTATION RECORDS FOR HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM

INSTRUCTIONS: The purpose of these records is to provide a convenient way to prepare an auditable record of the data and documentation used to apply the Hazard Ranking System to a given facility. As briefly as possible summarize the information you used to assign the score for each factor (e.g., "Waste quantity = 4,230 drums plus 800 cubic yards of sludges"). The source of information should be provided for each entry and should be a bibliographic-type reference that will make the document used for a given data point easier to find. Include the location of the document and consider appending a copy of the relevant page(s) for ease in review.

FACILITY NAME: BABYION LANDFILL

LOCATION: EDESON ANE. BABYLON, N.Y.

GROUND WATER ROUTE

REPHASIS CHEMINA wins size Report 4/13/83 -2070-13

1 OBSERVED RELEASE

Contaminants detected (5 maximum):

CHIONIALS

OMANIC HATGENS

( REF. NUS. SITE Zwsp. Form 2070-13 4/13/83

(REF. McClymont

Geological Ling

K FRANKS

PAR PAPER

Rationale for at

tributing the contaminants to the facility:

west in one home been sampled. PRUATE WHOIS sampled and Auch To be comminated. some have been crosed.

2 ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

Depth to Aquifer of Concern

Name/description of aquifers(s) of concern:

UPPER GIACIAL UNCONSOLIBATED DEPOSITS -

SAMO AND GRAVEL

Depth(s) from the ground surface to the highest seasonal level of the saturated zone [water table(s)] of the aquifer of concern:

+ 115 ft. - MACONY AQUIAN

UPPER GLACIAL AQUITER

Depth from the ground surface to the lowest point of waste disposal/

15 AT

SITE HAS BEEN ACTEUE FOR 44 YEARS.

#### Net Precipitation

Mean annual or seasonal precipitation (list months for seasonal):

53 m.

Mean annual lake or seasonal evaporation (list months for seasonal):

30 in.

Net precipitation (subtract the above figures):

23 in.

#### Permeability of Unsaturated Zone

Soil type in unsaturated zone:

JAND AND GAMEL

Permeability associated with soil type:

CHEATER THAN 10-3 cm/sec.

#### Physical State

Physical state of substances at time of disposal (or at present time for generated gases):

Solto Sluoge Legueo

#### 3 CONTAINMENT

#### Containment

Method(s) of waste or leachate containment evaluated:

LAMOPELL - NO LINER, LETTLE COVER LAGOON LAGOON

Method with highest score:

LANDFELL

#### 4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

#### Toxicity and Persistence

Compound(s) evaluated:

Usayl Chioredes TCE  $\begin{array}{ccc}
\hline
2 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 2
\end{array}$ 

(REE. - BASYION LANDRECK MONITORNY Program 1987-1982 GERAGLEY & MINER, ENC. 7

Compound with highest score:

Uinyl CHIBRIDE

TOX PER

#### Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility, excluding those with a containment score of 0 (Give a reasonable estimate even if quantity is above maximum):

UNABLE TO DETERMENE MAZAMBALS WASTE ONANTETY

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

82 MCRES FIRED N 15 PT About grade

TOTAL = 82 Acres × 43560 AT 2 × 15 AT × 17/3 = 1984,400 yd3
WASTE = 82 Acres × Acre \* \* 15 AT × 27AT 3 = 1984,400 yd3

#### Ground Water Use

Use(s) of aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius of the facility:

### Community WATER Supply Systems

#### Distance to Nearest Well

Location of nearest well drawing from aguifer of concern or occupied building not served by a public water supply:

- MARTH AND SOUTH UF SETE
- GROUND WATER MONITORENCE NETWARK Which UTITIES APPROXIMATELY 30 WILLS IS LOWATEL WITHIN UIWITY OF PITE.

Distance to above well or building:

ナ /mile

#### Population Served by Ground Water Wells Within a 3-Mile Radius

Identified water-supply well(s) drawing from aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius and populations served by each:

84000 TOTAL

SUPFUR COUNTY WATER AUTHORETY (REF: NY. STATE WATER
SUPPLY ATTER
N.Y. STATE DEPT. OF HEALTH

Computation of land area irrigated by supply well(s) drawing from aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius, and conversion to population (1.5 people per acre):

MA

Total population served by ground water within a 3-mile radius:

84,000

#### SURFACE WATER ROUTE

#### 1 OBSERVED RELEASE

Contaminants detected in surface water at the facility or downhill from it (5 maximum):

Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the facility:

ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

Facility Slope and Intervening Terrain

Average slope of facility in percent:

0-5%

Name/description of nearest downslope surface water:

SATAPOGUE CREEK
AMITYUIKE CREEK
GREAT SOUTH BAY

Average slope of terrain between facility and above-cited surface water body in percent:

0-5%

Is the facility located either totally or partially in surface water?

No

Is the facility completely surrounded by areas of higher elevation?

20

#### l-Year 24-Hour Rainfall in Inches

2.8 id.

#### Distance to Nearest Downslope Surface Water

1 mile

#### Physical State of Waste

Solio 5/ulga liquid

3 CONTAINMENT

#### Containment

Method(s) of waste or leachate containment evaluated:

LANDFILL - NO liver, NO ON LITTLE COVER

LEACHATE CHIECTICAL

Method with highest score:

LAMORIII

4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

#### Toxicity and Persistence

Compound(s) evaluated

USNYL CHECKEDES

TUX PER

Compound with highest score:

70%

PER

UINYL CHEOREDES 3

**5** 

5

#### Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility, excluding those with a containment score of 0 (Give a reasonable estimate even if quantity is above maximum):

WABLE TO DETERMENE HAZARDOUS WASTE QUANTITY

1,984, You yes = TOTAL WASTE

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

PAGE 4 THIS REPORT

5 TARGETS

Surface Water Use

Use(s) of surface water within 3 miles downstream of the hazardous substance:

RECAGATEON CommERCEAL IN OUSTREAL Is there tidal influence?

SIM

#### Distance to a Sensitive Environment

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) coastal wetland, if 2 miles or less:



Distance to 5-acre (minimum) fresh-water wetland, if 1 mile or less:



Distance to critical habitat of an endangered species or national wildlife refuge, if I mile or less:

NONE.

#### Population Served by Surface Water

Location(s) of water-supply intake(s) within 3 miles (free-flowing bodies) or 1 mile (static water bodies) downstream of the hazardous substance and population served by each intake:

NONE

Computation of land area irrigated by above-cited intake(s) and conversion to population (1.5 people per acre):

#### NOWE

Total population served:

Name/description of nearest of above water bodies:

SATAPOGNÉ CREEK AMZTYVILLE CREEK GREAT SONTH BAY

Distance to above-cited intakes, measured in stream miles.

SATAPOSAE CASEK - 1 Mi.
AMITYUELE CASEK - 1.5 Mi.
BARAF SOUTH BAY . 2 Mi.

#### AIR ROUTE

1 OBSERVED RELEASE

Contaminants detected:

Date and location of detection of contaminants

Methods used to detect the c			
		·	
	•		
Rationale for attributing th	e contaminants to the	site:	
	7		
	•		
			•
	* * *		
2 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS			
Reactivity and Incompatibili	ty		
Most reactive compound:			. ·
nost reactive compound.	÷ ',		
		i	
			٠.

#### Toxicity

Most toxic compound:

#### Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous waste:

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

\* \* \*

#### 3 TARGETS

#### Population Within 4-Mile Radius

Circle radius used, give population, and indicate how determined:

0 to 4 mi

0 to 1 mi

0 to 1/2 mi

0 to 1/4 mi

#### Distance to a Sensitive Environment

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) coastal wetland, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) fresh-water wetland, if 1 mile or less:

Distance to critical habitat of an endangered species, if I mile or less:

#### Land Use

Distance to commercial/industrial area, if I mile or less:

Distance to national or state park, forest, or wildlife reserve, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to residential area, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 1 mile or less:

Distance to prime agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 2 miles or less:

Is a historic or landmark site (National Register or Historic Places and National Natural Landmarks) within the view of the site?